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An analysis of urban forestry policy guidance documents according to the planetary boundaries and social foundations sustainability frameworks
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Summary

We found the planetary boundaries (PB) most frequently discussed urban forestry policy documents were biosphere integrity, climate change, atmospheric aerosol loading (air pollution), tied with land-system change. We found the most frequently discussed social foundations (SF) were health, education, resilience, and social equity. Based on this analysis there are notable gaps within policy documents that lack discussion on certain planetary boundaries and social foundations. These gaps include gender equality, ocean acidification, and stratospheric ozone depletion.

Introduction

- Scientists developed two frameworks, PB and SF to guide humanity toward an ecologically and socially safe space
- For each of the 9 PB, scientists proposed a set of limits placed before the point of no return, and it is crucial that we live within these proposed limits. Once we exceed, changes will be irreversible.
- Scientists proposed the SF: a basic set of needs and human rights necessary for life. Both sets of boundaries are deeply connected because social living depends on planetary condition.
- The idea of transgressing these boundaries resulted in a shift in viewing economics through a humanitarian scope, which included the consideration of human benefits and quality of living for humans.
- In acting to stay within these boundaries, it is essential to consider more than 80% of Americans and Canadians live in urban environments; therefore, it is crucial that we maintain the structure and health of urban forests in cities because of their significant impact on the planet and on humans.

Methods

- Selected 4 urban forestry policy documents to evaluate whether North American policy frameworks in urban forestry intersected with the PB and SF frameworks of sustainability.
- Documents were analyzed using a priori qualitative coding (Nvivo), where text from the documents were coded based on relevance to each of the 9 PB and 11 SF.
- Once finished with coding of all documents, a keyword search was implemented to ensure all relevant references were captured.
- Analyzed all references for each PB and SF for common themes and key takeaway points.

Documents Analyzed


Results and Discussion

- **Biosphere integrity** (116 references) and climate change (85 references) were most represented for PB.
- **Land system change and atmospheric aerosol loading** (air pollution) both had 48 references; freshwater use 46 references, and novel entities 38 references.
- **Health** (96 references) was most the represented SF.
- **Education** (59 references) was close behind for SF along with resilience (45 references) and energy (43 references).

Gaps and Recommendations

- Significant gaps noted in our research were gender equality, which is of particular influence in developing countries; ocean acidification, which lacks relevance due geographic location for which each document was written, and stratospheric ozone depletion.
- Expand upon current strong areas in urban forestry policy documents such as education, health, and climate change.
- Integrate PBs/SFs with gaps to make them stronger and give them more relevance and understanding in the urban forestry community (i.e., discuss gender equality with education).
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Theme 1: Trees mitigate environmental stressors of the planetary boundaries by providing benefits for the environment.

- Trees can play a large role in making cities more resilient to the effects of climate change by sequestration of greenhouse gasses
- A study observed that urban trees in the United States annually remove approximately 651,000 metric tons (717,000 tons) of air pollution, with a value of $4.7 billion

Theme 2: Trees mitigate social stressors and help society meet the social foundations.

- Urban forests boost people’s mental, physical and physiological health. Particularly with hospital patients who have a faster recovery time when provided with a more pleasant view
- Leverage education to raise awareness of urban forestry; bow that ties all of the social foundations together
- Reduction of energy costs for A/C and heating

Theme 3: Trees are impacted by the environment and humans

- Novel entities include the introduction of invasive plants and animals
- Air pollution and the impacts of high levels of CO2
- Resilience of trees to climate change and mitigation of impacts through environmental stewardship